

# Simplicity and Complexity

## Striking a Balance in Sustainable Investing

### Authors

Barnaby Wiener  
Chief Sustainability Officer

Vishal Hindocha  
Global Head of Sustainability Strategy



To listen to the  
full conversation

### Should investors care about impact?

A simple question with an obvious answer. Of course they should! Every investment has an impact.

This is not groundbreaking logic. Financial institutions and corporate actors directly and indirectly contribute to the conditions of our shared ecosystems. As long-term investors, how could we not care about the continuing viability of the issuers we invest in or the system in which they operate? If companies fail to account for the roles of all stakeholders — employees, customers, suppliers, communities and the environment — in the creation of economic value, they may ultimately lose their license to operate and everyone, investors included, will be the poorer for it.

### Sustainable investing — simple in theory, confusing in practice

It's often in the best interest of investors to be thinking about the impact issuers have on society and the environment. This is the basis of sustainable investing, and it's elegantly simple in theory. In practice, it's become a contentious and controversial topic over which the investment world has managed to tie itself in knots.

Politics has played a part. Sustainable investing, and in particular the consideration of environmental, social and governance issues (ESG), has been the topic of much political debate. But we can't lay the blame entirely on political misapprehensions. The investment community has done a good job of confusing the issue on its own.

Our industry is inundated with a dizzying array of narratives around ESG, impact investing, sustainability and other related concepts. These narratives ultimately lead to the question of whether sustainability is about making better investment decisions or making a better world.

There doesn't appear to be a right answer, or at least a complete one.

Perhaps this is because we're asking the wrong questions. Humans are binary thinkers. It's only natural for us to seek structured, measurable ways to approach complex problems. Yet my experience has been that complex problems require nuanced solutions. It's inherently difficult to reconcile value creation with environmental and social preservation in an economic system that operates around short-term shareholder gains. This is at least in part a result of our industry's excessive preoccupation with short-term financial results and the so-called "pacification" of capital. Not factored in are the social and environmental externalities detrimental to the world and the long-term economic viability of many business models.

What if we envision instead capital allocation as a tool to promote a system that prioritizes financial wellbeing while helping to build a shared prosperity and a healthy planet?



---

In the secondary market, trading out of a high emitter into a lower emitter may help to reduce your portfolio's carbon footprint and might make it "values aligned" in an attribution analysis, but it has zero bearing on the reduction of real-world CO2 emissions, past, present or future. ▲

---

## Challenges posed by the current approach to sustainability

We like to think this goal isn't out of the reach of our collective remit. The recent movement around sustainability is driven at least in part by the recognition that we need to think about things differently. But before we can do this, we first need to reflect on the challenges that have come with how we approach sustainability today.

The first is around implementation. For many, sustainability is about merging certain investor values with financial objectives. Often, what ensues is a narrowing of the investment universe: Only invest in "good" ESG companies and ruthlessly exclude those deemed objectionable. This is problematic for a few reasons, not least because there is a difference between excusing yourself of something you don't wish to partake in and actively pursuing change. This approach can also inflate the degree of impact being achieved, and risks equating portfolio impact with real economy change.

For example, in public markets, the vast majority of companies are net distributors of capital. They return far more through dividends and share buybacks than they extract through equity issues. In the secondary market, trading out of a high emitter into a lower emitter may help to reduce your portfolio's carbon footprint and might make it "values aligned" in an attribution analysis, but it has zero bearing on the reduction of real-world CO2 emissions, past, present or future. Surely the real economy must lead and the portfolio statistics will follow. We don't believe optimizing for carbon emissions at the portfolio level will have any impact on the real world.

Moreover, this approach often results in tradeoffs. As investors seeking financial returns, why would you devise a strategy that ignores critical components of the investment puzzle such as competition, supply and demand, profitability, capital intensity and valuation? A wind turbine manufacturer may play a critical part in the energy transition, but if barriers to entry are low or valuation is full, it may prove a terrible investment. Equally, if an oil company is cheap enough, regardless of the outlook for oil demand, it may be a great investment.

If you really wanted to create an impact in public markets, your best strategy might instead be to create a portfolio of the most-polluting companies and then agitate for change, but we suspect that this would deliver only a modest impact and poor financial returns and could raise issues in light of the investment manager's fiduciary duty. Alternatively, there is scope to have a positive impact by investing in companies with an explicit social or environmental purpose, but only in the field of early-stage angel or venture investment, where companies constantly need fresh capital to survive and prosper.

The second challenge posed by the current approach to sustainability underpins the first: Most of the issues we're trying to analyse are intangible in nature and can't be synthesised. There's a plethora of existing and emerging standards, metrics, frameworks and guidelines aimed at helping us measure ESG factors. But how can it possibly make sense to impose universal, predetermined templates on the investment community to assess the sustainability of every investment when so much of it is immeasurable?

For example, measuring a company's staff turnover or wage disparity might give us an indication of its corporate culture, but would it present a complete picture of the employee, supplier and customer experience? Of course not. Intangible factors are by definition unquantifiable.



And yet the broader industry isn't only desperately seeking to quantify a wide range of ESG characteristics but also to transform those metrics into aggregate scores and ratings. The single-scoring of ESG is an example of overengineering and oversimplification in our market in recent times — and thereby presents a fantastic opportunity for active investors and asset allocators who are keen to roll up their sleeves, do their own analysis and come to reasoned judgements on where to invest.

To demonstrate this, consider the following thought experiment involving a hypothetical electric vehicle company that you're looking to assign an ESG rating. Setting aside mediocre governance and controversies involving the founder and executive management for a moment, in your view this company is an exceptional industry leader and pioneer on environmental issues and has done more to directly influence the trajectory of low-emissions vehicles than any other company. However, research shows that the company may have disregard for its workers, and it faces allegations that it treats minorities poorly. It also has a poor worker safety record. How do you assign an overall ESG score ranging from 0 (bad) to 10 (good)? What does that score actually mean? How should the company interpret its score, and how can it improve it? How much worse can it treat its people per ton of emissions saved?

If you were to ask anyone outside the investment industry these questions, they would think you were deranged to frame an issue this way. In a similar vein, we reject the notion that you can segment the investment universe into "good" and "bad" actors. The temptation to do so as binary thinkers is understandable, especially as resource constraints and social inequalities grow increasingly strained. But much as we might like to live in a Tolkienesque world of hobbits, elves and ents on the one side and orcs, trolls and black riders on the other, the real world is more nuanced than that.

Consider these examples:

- An industrial gas company is a huge emitter of CO2 but also a critical enabler of the energy transition. In practice, the consumer emissions it helps to reduce outweigh the emissions it creates.
- A chocolate company's supply chain is riddled with child labour issues, but its suppliers are small subsistence farmers in West Africa, where children working on their parents' farms is both a cultural norm and an economic necessity.

If you accept the premise that these are complex questions with no right answers, then it becomes clear that using the investment system to improve the world — assuming that's your mandate — is a far from straightforward endeavour. And of course, for most asset managers, including MFS, the mandate isn't saving the world but looking after our clients' savings.

### **Why investors should embrace complexity and imperfection**

When faced with complexity, the natural tendency is to try to escape it, but instead we need to embrace it. Sustainability presents itself in many shapes and forms; some are brightly lit, others darkly concealed. Often, the more we dig into these issues with companies, the more complicated they become. Alongside complexity, we also need to embrace imperfection. Making judgments and reaching conclusions without having the complete picture is part and parcel of the investor's job.



At MFS, we have an investment team of over 300 people, most of whom spend the bulk of their time trying to deepen their understanding of equity and fixed income issuers around the world. It's an endless, challenging and often frustrating yet strangely addictive process. We try to understand as much as we can about the critical components of the investment puzzle: the key revenue and margin drivers, the cash flow and balance sheet characteristics, the competitive and regulatory environment, management and corporate governance, and the environmental and social factors that could have a material bearing on long-term value. We do this because we have a clear mandate: We must put our clients' financial interests first. But when — by emphasizing the importance of long-time horizons and business models that consider sustainability — we can, in a small and immeasurable way, help build a more durable economic ecosystem, then we will.

None of this is easy. There are no simple answers. Within the team there is constant disagreement: around management quality, disruption risk, competitive edge, regulatory risk and critical sustainability concerns. This disagreement doesn't concern us; on the contrary, it reassures us. We recognize that there is no substitute for actually engaging the brain and trying to use judgment as well as objective data to arrive at a conclusion. When we all reach exactly the same conclusion, something could be seriously wrong.

This sentiment also rings true for the challenges described above. We don't need to converge around widely accepted principles of impact investing, sustainability and ESG in order to recognize that if we want our ecosystem to endure, we have to play a part in correcting the imbalances of today. We do need to be honest about the limitations of how we operate today, though. Working through this will likely require more creative thinking than we as investors are used to, in addition to diligent, contextual analysis, judgment and debate. All of this will require patience and a long-term focus.

If we and other investors can demonstrate that making money and doing good aren't mutually exclusive — and indeed, can be mutually reinforcing — we could transform the way individuals and investors think about capital allocation. This pursuit is arduous and far from straightforward. There are no shortcuts and no magic bullets. But in the words of John F. Kennedy, we should do these things not because they are easy but because they are hard, because the rewards could be spectacular. There is an opportunity for MFS and the investment industry to redefine itself, and by so doing create enormous value for clients, communities, employees and shareholders. I hope that collectively we have the imagination and courage to rise to the challenge.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss key sustainability themes with you. Please contact [allangles@mfs.com](mailto:allangles@mfs.com) and we will be happy to help.



MFS may consider environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in its fundamental investment analysis alongside more traditional economic factors where MFS believes such ESG factors could materially impact the economic value of an issuer. The extent to which any ESG factors are considered and whether they impact returns will depend on a number of variables, such as investment strategy, the types of asset classes, regional and geographic exposures, and an investment professional's views and analysis of a specific ESG issue. ESG factors alone do not determine any investment decision. MFS may incorporate ESG factors into its engagement activities when communicating with issuers but these engagement activities will not necessarily result in changes to any issuer's ESG-related practices.

The views expressed are those of the speakers and are subject to change at any time. These views are for informational purposes only and should not be relied upon as a recommendation to purchase any security or as a solicitation or investment advice. No forecasts can be guaranteed.

Unless otherwise indicated, logos and product and service names are trademarks of MFS® and its affiliates and may be registered in certain countries.

Distributed by: **Latin America** – MFS International Ltd.; **Canada** – MFS Investment Management Canada Limited. **Note to UK and Switzerland readers:** Issued in the UK and Switzerland by MFS International (U.K.) Limited (“MIL UK”), a private limited company registered in England and Wales with the company number 03062718, and authorised and regulated in the conduct of investment business by the UK Financial Conduct Authority. MIL UK, an indirect subsidiary of MFS®, has its registered office at One Carter Lane, London, EC4V 5ER. **Note to Europe (ex UK and Switzerland) readers:** Issued in Europe by MFS Investment Management (Lux) S.à r.l. (MFS Lux) – authorized under Luxembourg law as a management company for Funds domiciled in Luxembourg and which both provide products and investment services to institutional investors and is registered office is at S.a r.l. 4 Rue Albert Borschette, Luxembourg L-1246. Tel: 352 2826 12800. This material shall not be circulated or distributed to any person other than to professional investors (as permitted by local regulations) and should not be relied upon or distributed to persons where such reliance or distribution would be contrary to local regulation; **Singapore** – MFS International Singapore Pte. Ltd. (CRN 201228809M); **Australia/New Zealand** - MFS International Australia Pty Ltd (“MFS Australia”) (ABN 68 607 579 537) holds an Australian financial services licence number 485343. MFS Australia is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission.; **Hong Kong** - MFS International (Hong Kong) Limited (“MIL HK”), a private limited company licensed and regulated by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission (the “SFC”). MIL HK is approved to engage in dealing in securities and asset management regulated activities and may provide certain investment services to “professional investors” as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (“SFO”).; **For Professional Investors in China** – MFS Financial Management Consulting (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. 2801-12, 28th Floor, 100 Century Avenue, Shanghai World Financial Center, Shanghai Pilot Free Trade Zone, 200120, China, a Chinese limited liability company registered to provide financial management consulting services.; **Japan** - MFS Investment Management K.K., is registered as a Financial Instruments Business Operator, Kanto Local Finance Bureau (FIBO) No.312, a member of the Investment Trust Association, Japan and the Japan Investment Advisers Association. As fees to be borne by investors vary depending upon circumstances such as products, services, investment period and market conditions, the total amount nor the calculation methods cannot be disclosed in advance. All investments involve risks, including market fluctuation and investors may lose the principal amount invested. Investors should obtain and read the prospectus and/or document set forth in Article 37-3 of Financial Instruments and Exchange Act carefully before making the investments; **Bahrain** - This document has not been approved by the Central Bank of Bahrain which takes no responsibility for its contents. No offer to the public will be made in the Kingdom of Bahrain and this document is intended to be read by the addressee only and must not be passed to, issued to, or shown to the public generally. The Central Bank of Bahrain assumes no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the statements and information contained in this document and expressly disclaims any liability whatsoever for any loss howsoever arising from reliance upon the whole or any part of the contents of this document. The Board of Directors and the management of the issuer accepts responsibility for the information contained in this document. To the best of the knowledge and belief of the board of directors and the management, who have all taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in this document is in accordance with the facts and does not omit anything likely to affect the reliability of such information.; **Kuwait** - This document is not for general circulation to the public in Kuwait. The information has not been licensed for offering in Kuwait by the Kuwait Capital Markets Authority or any other relevant Kuwaiti government agency. No private or public offering of the information is being made in Kuwait, and no agreement relating to the information will be concluded in Kuwait. No marketing or solicitation or inducement activities are being used to offer or market the information in Kuwait.; **Oman** - For Residents of the Sultanate of Oman: The information contained in this document does not constitute a public offer of securities in the Sultanate of Oman as contemplated by the Commercial Companies Law of Oman (Royal Decree 4/74) or the Capital Market Law of Oman (Royal Decree 80/98). This information is being circulated on a limited basis only to corporate entities that fall within the description of sophisticated investors (Article 139 of the Executive Regulations of the Capital Market Law). The recipient acknowledges that they are a sophisticated investor who has experience in business and financial matters and is capable of evaluating the merits and risks on an investment.; **South Africa** - This document has not been approved by the Financial Services Board and neither MFS International (U.K.) Limited nor its funds are registered for public sale in South Africa.; **UAE** - This document, and the information contained herein, does not constitute, and is not intended to constitute, a public offer of securities in the United Arab Emirates and accordingly should not be construed as such. The information is only being offered to a limited number of exempt investors in the UAE who fall under one of the following categories of non-natural Qualified Investors: (1) an investor which is able to manage its investments on its own, namely: (a) the federal government, local governments, government entities and authorities or companies wholly-owned by any such entities; (b) international entities and organisations; or (c) a person licensed to carry out a commercial activity in the UAE, provided that investment is one of the objects of such person; or (2) an investor who is represented by an investment manager licensed by the SCA, (each a “non-natural Qualified Investor”). The information and data have not been approved by or licensed or registered with the UAE Central Bank, the Securities and Commodities Authority, the Dubai Financial Services Authority, the Financial Services Regulatory Authority or any other relevant licensing authorities or governmental agencies in the UAE (the “Authorities”). The Authorities assume no liability for any investment that the named addressee makes as a non-natural Qualified Investor diligence on the accuracy of the information relating to the securities. If you do not understand the contents of this document you should consult an authorised financial adviser.; **Saudi Arabia** - This document may not be distributed in the Kingdom except to such persons as are permitted under the Investment Funds Regulations issued by the Capital Market Authority. The Capital Market Authority does not make any representation as to the accuracy or completeness of this document, and expressly disclaims any liability whatsoever for any loss arising from, or incurred in reliance upon, any part of this document. Prospective purchasers of the securities offered hereby should conduct their own due diligence on the accuracy of the information relating to the securities. If you do not understand the contents of this document, you should consult an authorised financial adviser.; **Qatar** - This material/fund is only being offered to a limited number of investors who are willing and able to conduct an independent investigation of the risks involved in an investment in such material/fund. The material does not constitute an offer to the public and is for the use only of the named addressee and should not be given or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof). The fund has not been and will not be registered with the Qatar Central Bank or under any laws of the State of Qatar. No transaction will be concluded in your jurisdiction and any inquiries regarding the material/fund should be made to your contact outside Qatar.